UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Mark Latimer,

Case No. 23-cv-0190 (KMM/DJF)

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Paul Schnell, Official Capacity; and William Bolin, Official Capacity,

Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiff Mark Latimer has satisfactorily paid the initial partial filing fee to proceed in forma pauperis in accordance with the Court's March 14, 2023 Order (ECF No. [6]).
- 2. The Court directs the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service of process on Defendants Paul Schnell and William Bolin in their official capacities as agents of the State of Minnesota consistent with Rule 4(j) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 3. Mr. Latimer must pay the unpaid balance (\$150.00) of the statutory filing fee for this action in the manner prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), and the Clerk of Court shall provide notice of this requirement to the authorities at the institution where Mr. Latimer is confined.
- 4. Mr. Latimer's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. [3]) is **DENIED**. "A pro se litigant has no statutory or constitutional right to have counsel appointed in a civil case." *Stevens v. Redwing*, 146 F.3d 538, 546 (8th Cir. 1998); *see also In re*

CASE 0:23-cv-00190-KMM-DJF Doc. 11 Filed 04/12/23 Page 2 of 2

Lane, 801 F.2d 1040, 1042 (8th Cir. 1986) ("The decision to appoint counsel in

civil cases is committed to the discretion of the district court."). It is not yet clear

that assistance of counsel will benefit either Mr. Latimer or the Court in this

proceeding. As a practical matter, there is little for appointed counsel to do at this

time. This matter has survived initial review under § 1915A and officers of the

Court will effect service of process on behalf of Mr. Latimer. See 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(d). But neither Mr. Latimer nor the Court can know at this juncture what

defenses might be raised in opposition to the Amended Complaint or whether

assistance of counsel is likely to substantially assist Mr. Latimer in responding to

those challenges. That said, the Court will reconsider the request for appointment

of counsel sua sponte should circumstances dictate later in the proceedings.

Dated: April 12, 2023

s/ Dulce J. Foster

DULCE J. FOSTER

United States Magistrate Judge

2